IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JOHN LOYDD SPRADLEY,

Case No. 6:11-cv-1140-TC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

STATE OF OREGON, et al.,

Defendants.

Aiken, Chief Judge:

Plaintiff filed suit alleging that defendants violated his constitutional rights while he was a patient at the Oregon State Hospital. Magistrate Judge Coffin issued his Findings and Recommendation in the above-captioned case on November 5, 2012. Magistrate Judge Coffin recommends that defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted and plaintiff's claims dismissed. The matter is now before me. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981). Plaintiff timely filed objections to the Findings and Recommendation. Upon de novo review, I find no error in Magistrate Judge Coffin's findings and analysis.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 52) filed November 5, 2012 is ADOPTED, defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (doc. 46) is GRANTED, and this case is DISMISSED. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 3^{eD} day of January, 2013.

Ann Aiken

United States District Judge